



ASSOCIATION OF UNIVERSITIES FOR RESEARCH IN ASTRONOMY, INC.

SUITE 350
1200 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20005
TEL: 202-483-2101
FAX: 202-483-2106
www.aura-astronomy.org

OPERATING FOR THE
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

GEMINI OBSERVATORY
LA SERENA, CHILE & HILO, HAWAII

NATIONAL OPTICAL ASTRONOMY OBSERVATORY:

CERRO TOLOLO INTER-AMERICAN OBSERVATORY
LA SERENA, CHILE

KITT PEAK NATIONAL OBSERVATORY
TUCSON, ARIZONA

NATIONAL SOLAR OBSERVATORY
SUNSPOT, NEW MEXICO & TUCSON, ARIZONA

OPERATING FOR THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS
AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

SPACE TELESCOPE SCIENCE INSTITUTE
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

MEMBERS/SINCE:

HARVARD UNIVERSITY 1957
INDIANA UNIVERSITY 1957
OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY 1957
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA 1957
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO 1957
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN 1957
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN 1957
YALE UNIVERSITY 1958
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY 1959
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY 1972
UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA 1972
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN 1972
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO 1977
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII 1978
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS 1980
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY 1981
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY 1982
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT STONY BROOK 1986
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND 1986
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON 1986
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY 1990
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY 1992
UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE 1992
BOSTON UNIVERSITY 1993
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA 1995
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL 1995
CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON 1997
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY 1997
PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE 1997
AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY 1999
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY 1999
RUTGERS UNIVERSITY 1999
LEIDEN UNIVERSITY 2000
UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA 2002
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA 2003
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO 2004
INSTITUTO DE ASTROFÍSICA DE CANARIAS 2005
KIEPENHEUER-INSTITUT FÜR SONNENPHYSIK 2005
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY 2005

November 28, 2005

Members of the Board of Directors
Giant Magellan Telescope
C/o Dr. Wendy Freedman
Carnegie Observatories
813 Santa Barbara Street
Pasadena, California 91101

Dear members of the GMT Board,

Thank you for your letter of November 14, 2005 regarding the Giant Segmented Mirror Telescope and AURA's work on the design and development of this highly rated decadal survey facility. In the decadal survey *Astronomy and Astrophysics in the New Millennium*, the Astronomy and Astrophysics Survey Committee identified the next generation of astronomical facilities and stressed "effective national organizations are essential to coordinate, and to ensure the success and efficiency of these systems. These national organizations should work with the universities and independent observatories in developing the next generation of telescopes." This is elaborated in a later chapter: "Community participation in major national telescope initiatives must be led by an effective national astronomy organization working in concert with universities and similar institutions."

This is fundamentally what AURA has attempted to do, first by developing a science case for GSMT, second by developing a point design for the thirty meter class telescope envisioned by the Decadal Survey, and third by merging that design with two other similar designs, CELT and the Canadian VLOT design which also took the Survey as their points of departure. As you know, our proposal to the National Science Foundation was aimed at providing resources to develop, not only the technology approach encompassed by the Thirty Meter Telescope, but an alternate design as well. We have relied heavily on the GSMT Science Working Group for guidance on this approach. After the design and development phase of the current two efforts, it is my understanding that the NSF will pick a flagship facility and a new phase will begin.

Your letter addresses the internal work the New Initiatives Office staff has been doing thus far and projected in the Senior Review submission. For the most part, this work is matched to the institutional skills and interests for both NOAO and Gemini and is an

ASSOCIATION OF UNIVERSITIES FOR RESEARCH IN ASTRONOMY, INC.

extension of the efforts began immediately following the release of the Decadal Survey. The AURA Board carefully considered these factors as well as the possible linkage to the work of ESO in endorsing our partnership in the TMT. Although the GMT requirements do not fit these strategic aims as directly, they nevertheless constitute a valid technical approach and we have assumed a strong advocacy in ensuring they are properly funded.


Now that the AURA proposal has received its first increment of funding, I look forward to concluding the next step in the process, which will be to establish a more direct link to the alternate design team through the awarding of a subcontract. At that point we will identify a clear NOAO interface that will devote a substantial portion of his/her time to GMT. Clearly, there may be other NOAO staff members having an expertise or skill that may be desirable in maturing the GMT design.

Having said this, it should be pointed out that NOAO's ability to contribute to either effort through in-house resources is extremely limited in the present budget environment. For this reason, we have envisioned the full funding of the GSMT proposal itself as the most important factor in achieving a viable design for either effort. In addition, I believe that the ability of TMT and GMT to raise funds privately may offer more hope in the present circumstances.

With these caveats, I have consulted with the Director of NOAO, as you suggested in your letter, and he is exploring a number of possibilities. These include hydrodynamic simulations of airflow and seeing at relevant sites and specific dome architectures, access to site testing data at high sites (to enable mid-infrared use of GMT), and preparation of a conceptual design for a GMT instrument. He suggests that Matt Johns and Steve Strom continue discussions of these possibilities. Their earlier discussions in the context of the AURA GSMT proposal were cordial and fruitful.

As always, I appreciate your input and I look forward to continuing to meet with the GMT Board as appropriate.

Sincerely,



William S. Smith, Jr.
President